

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,952	01/09/2002	Jun Ohshimo	020004	5112	
23850 7	7590 02/27/2003				
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			EXAMINER		
			DEJESUS, LYDIA M		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2859		

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trade rk Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

10/040950	ره
APPLICATION NO.	FI
CONTROL NO	l

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /
PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER		

ART UNIT PAPER

6

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

				MP		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	·	10/040,952	OHSHIMO, JUN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	<u> </u>	Lydia M. De Jesús	2859			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ress		
A S TH - E - II - II - F	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF offer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a find the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by signly reply received by the Office later than three months after the marned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
1)[		·				
2a)[		This action is non-final.				
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
•	$\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.				
.,,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
, -	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	☐ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
, -	eation Papers	'				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner.				
10)[	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examine	r.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	in reply to this Office action.				
12)[	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No			
	<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
15)[	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don					
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 N	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTO			

Art Unit: 2859

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, corresponding to a light sensor having one light guide, illustrated in the embodiments of Figures 5-9.

Species B, corresponding to a light sensor having first and second light guides, illustrated in the embodiment of Figures 10-11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 10/040,952

Art Unit: 2859

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Donald W. Hanson on February 24, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lydia M. De Jesús whose telephone number is (703) 306-5982. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 to 8:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Diego F.F. Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

LDJ February 24, 2003